

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,369	06/08/2001	Ilse Bartke	3200.009US0	3099
22798 75	590 08/20/2004		EXAMINER	
QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.			HANLEY, SUSAN MARIE	
	P O BOX 458 ALAMEDA, CA 94501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Abandonment	09/529,369	BARTKE ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Hanley	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a period for reply was received on, but it does not be a period for the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a period of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	mendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6	ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8. (a)	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T		CFR 1.18(d), is \$.
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		(//
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). Proposed corrected drawings were received on		
after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
(b) In No corrected drawings have been received.		
 ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a representation	entative capacity under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 	ence rendered on and becaus ns.	e the period for seeking court review
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:		1
	IPRIN	JEAN C. WIFZ WARY EXAMINER
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to
ninimize any negative effects on patent term	1 1	